Members: Nancy Dunnam, Chair David McKamie, Vice Chair Dianne Borreson Aaron Daitz Belinda Dyer Dara Fuller Adrian Garcia Keitha Ivey Beverly Meyer Debbie Largent Kim O'Leary Brenda Padalecki Tom Priem Linda Roska Janet Spurgin Patty Streat Peggy Sullivan		0:00 AM to 2:00 PM Hosted in 3-102 Webinar Meeting
Member Attending:	Nancy Dunnam, David McKamie, Aaron Daitz, Belinda Dyer, Toi Garcia, Brenda Padalecki, Linda Roska, Patty Streat	n Priem, Adrian
Members via Webinar:	Dianne Borreson, Keitha Ivey, Debbie Largent, Kim O' Leary, Pe	eggy Sullivan
Alternates via Webinar:	Judi Sparks, Brenda Richmond	
Alternates Attending:	John Shaffer, Cathleen Freeman	
Others Attending:	Bryce Templeton, Terri Hanson, Candice DeSantis, Melody Parr Bryant, Fernando Garcia, Christina Matheny, Priscilla Flores, So Mark Stehouwer, Nina Taylor, Oklahoma Department of Educati Daves, Colleen Flory)	cott Johnson,
Call the Meeting to O	rder:	
Nancy Dunnam called	the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.	
Approval of the July	16, 2013 ITF Meeting Minutes	Action Item
	aced the minutes from the July 16, 2013 ITF Meeting and asked for s. Having no corrections offered, Nancy Dunnam called for a motion as presented.	
	motion to approve the July 16, 2013 ITF Meeting Minutes as seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.	
ITF Committee Memb	ership and Operating Procedures Reminder	Discussion Item
Agency (TEA) guests a DOE is in the process of invited to observe the T ITF meeting. The Okla	d the Oklahoma Department of Education (DOE) as Texas Education attending the ITF meeting via webinar. She stated that the Oklahoma of developing and implementing a data governance process and was TEA governance process in action starting with the first phase at an a homa DOE will be invited to attend the Policy Committee on Public committee meeting on November 5, 2013 to observe the second rnance process.	
	reviewed the ITF committee membership rules and a few operating F members. Bryce reminded the ITF that the only persons allowed to	

attend the ITF meetings are the ITF members that were appointed by the PCPEI committee, the alternate ITF members that are selected by the ITF member organization, the TEA staff that facilitate and support the ITF meeting process, and other TEA program area staff that are invited to present the ITF business items for data collections related to their department. Bryce also stated that only one vote can be counted for each business items and if the ITF member organization. The ITF members can vote on the action business items and if the ITF member alternate is attending with the ITF member, only the member can vote. The alternate for an ITF member is permitted to vote in the absence of the ITF member. ITF meetings are offered by webinar about half of the time to reduce the travel burden and to allow the ITF members the ability to attend the meetings remotely when they cannot travel to the TEA offices for a meeting. Bryce concluded this item by reminding the members that they are allowed to consult with staff from their organization regarding the business items are in process, but that once the ITF makes a recommendation to approve an item, they cannot share that information with anyone pending the outcome of the additional governance process steps. Until the items approved by the ITF are approved by the PCPEI and then by the TEA Data Governance Board and then published in the Data Standards publications, disclosure of these items is not permitted. A reporting requirement that is approved by the ITF is not an official requirement until the item has been successfully routed through the whole data governance process.	
At Risk Indicator Code	Action Item
Candice DeSantis presented a proposal to the ITF committee to amend the definition of the At-Risk Indicator Code, PEIMS Element E0919. During the 2013 legislative session, House Bill 5 was passed and Section 20 of the bill amends TEC 29.081 to revise the At-Risk definition to include "the students under the age of 26". This revision increases the maximum age that a student can be reported as at-risk from 20 to 25 years old. TEA is proposing that for the 2014-2015 school year, the Legacy PEIMS Data Standards and the TSDS Texas Education Data Standards be amended such that the At-Risk Indicator Code (E0919) is updated to allow the expanded population to be reported. As a result of this change, TEA would also need to update two fatal edits, 1102A and 11070, to include "students under the age of 26". For the current year, 2013-2014, TEA has downgraded edit 1102A to a Special Warning to allow the districts to report students that are under the age of 26 as At-Risk.	
Discussion	
Nancy Dunnam requested an update to the EDIT+ message board to notify the districts of the change the edit and to state something like "1102A that was downgraded to a special warning for the 2013-2014 school year. Bryce Templeton and Candice DeSantis agreed to post this change to the EDIT+ message board. David McKamie asked it TEA knew why this change was made by the legislature to the At-Risk indicator code. Belinda Dyer responded that the districts are allowed funding for students up to age 25 and that this change brings the At-Risk definition into alignment with the funding eligibility range.	
ITF Recommendation	
Adrian Garcia made a motion to change the At-Risk Indicator Code definition to increase the maximum age limits for categorizing students as at-risk from 20 to 25 years old beginning with the 2014-2015 school year. Aaron Daitz seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.	

Clarification on expelling students under 10 years of age in Appendix E	Action Item
Candice DeSantis presented a proposal to the ITF committee to clarify the rules concerning the expulsion of students under the age of 10 years old. Candice illustrated that in TEC 37.007 (h) that with the exception of a student bringing a firearm to school, a student who is younger than 10 years of age may not be expelled from school. Specifically, this means that a student under the age of 10 years old may not be subjected to an expulsion hearing. TEA is proposing to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data Standards to reinforce the rules related to expelling students who are under the age of 10.	
TEA is proposing the following changes:	
New Reporting Requirement for the PEIMS 425 Record Business Rules and Reporting Requirements:	
Except for the reason of "bringing a firearm to school", a student who is younger than 10 years of age may not be expelled for engaging in conduct described in TEC 37.007. Students who are less than 10 years of age and commit an otherwise expellable offense may be placed to a DAEP via a DAEP conference hearing in lieu of an expulsion hearing. Students under the age of 10 who bring a firearm to school must be expelled with placement to a DAEP.	
Appendix E – Additional Information Related to Discipline - Question 9	
What is the minimum age that a student can be expelled?	
<b>Old answer</b> Under TEC §37.007(a), (d), (e), and (h), students, who are at least 10 years of age on the date that an offense is committed, <b>must be expelled from their regular education setting</b> for a time period that is determined by the local Student Code of Conduct. The only exception is that TEC §37.007(e) requires that students who bring a firearm to school be expelled for a minimum of one year. The school administrator designated must first establish a reasonable belief that the act has been committed and then corroborate and/or document that belief with appropriate law enforcement officials.	
Students who are less than 10 years of age and commit an expellable offense must be expelled with placement to a DAEP.	
New Answer	
Under TEC §37.007(a), (d), and (h), and §37.007(f) students who are at younger 10 years of age on the date that an offense is committed, and have committed a mandatory expellable offense other than bringing a firearm to school, must be placed in a DAEP for a period of time that is determined by the campus administrator.	
Under TEC §37.007(e), (student brings a firearm to school), students who are at younger 10 years of age on the date that an offense is committed, must be expelled and placed in a DAEP for a minimum term of one year, unless the expulsion term is reduced after the expulsion order was issued by the campus administrator.	

ditiona			1	T	I	T	Т		
2588 NEW	44425 -0062	If a student's age as of DATE-OF-DISCIPLINARY-ACTION is less than 10 and DISCIPLINARY-ACTION-REASON-CODE is "12"-"14", "16"-"19", "29"-"32", "36", "37", "46", "47", "48", or "57", then there should be a 425 record where DISCIPLINARY- ACTION-CODE is "07", "08", "10", "27", "28", "54", "55", or "57".	SW	3		х	×	x	
		For reasons other than "bringing a gun to school", if a student is less than 10 years old on the date a mandatory expellable incident occurred then they cannot legally be expelled and will generally be assigned to a DAEP in lieu of an expulsion assignment.							
42589 *NEW	44425 -0062	If a student's age as of DATE-OF-DISCIPLINARY-ACTION is less than 10 and DISCIPLINARY-ACTION-REASON-CODE is "11", then there should be a 425 record where DISCIPLINARY- ACTION-CODE is "03", "04", "52", or "53".	SW	3		x	х	х	
		If a student, who is less than 10 years old, brings a firearm to school, they must be expelled with placement to a DAEP							
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE	nool yea ee, Ques ds to refl EIMS Da	asked how TEA was planning to deal with this issue for r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-207 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year.	n from 14 PEI	the MS	ITF Dat	ta		e	
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE <u>F Recc</u> ggy S e new o new s beer	unnam a nool yea ee, Ques ds to refl EIMS Da ommeno ullivan n reporting edits to n reporte	r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-207 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year.	tandar pendix ne age are ur	the MS char ds to c E, a of 1	Dat Dat nge c: 1 and 0 y	ta s m . Ac I 3. ears	dd Ad	d Id	
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE F Reco eggy S e new o new s beer s beer . Tom te com 14 Scl	unnam a nool yea ee, Ques ds to refl EIMS Da ommeno ullivan n reporting edits to n reporte n reporte n Priem s mittee a hool yea	r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-20 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year. dation nade a motion to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data S g requirement, 2. Revise the "question 9 answer" in Ap notify schools when an expulsion of a student under the ed, to reinforce the rules for not expelling students who	n from 14 PEI e only o tandar pendix ne age are ur usly. ix E fo	the MS char ds to c E, a of 1 nder r the	ITF Datinge co: 1 and 0 y the	ta s m I 3. ears e ag	dd Ad s ol je c	d Id	
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE ggy S e new o new s beer . Tom 14 Scl udents arifica	unnam a nool yea ee, Ques ds to refl EIMS Da ullivan n reporting edits to n reporting edits to n reporte n Priem s mittee a hool yea under th	r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-20 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year. dation nade a motion to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data S g requirement, 2. Revise the "question 9 answer" in Ap notify schools when an expulsion of a student under the ed, to reinforce the rules for not expelling students who seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimou- llso made a recommendation that TEA update Append in to reflect the revised answer to the question regardin	n from 14 PEI e only o tandar pendix ne age are ur usly. ix E fo ng the e	the I MS char ds to c E, a of 1 nder r the expu	ITF Dat nge c: 1 and 0 y the 20 Ilsic	ta s m l 3. ears e ag 013- on o	dd Ad s o je c	d Id	Action Item
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE ggy S e new o new s beer . Tom 14 Scl udents arifica on PE	unnam a pool yea ee, Ques ds to refl EIMS Da ommeno ullivan n reporting edits to n reporting edits to n reporte n Priem s mittee a hool yea under th tion of EIMS co DeSant Codes 4	r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-207 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year. dation nade a motion to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data S g requirement, 2. Revise the "question 9 answer" in Ap notify schools when an expulsion of a student under the ed, to reinforce the rules for not expelling students who seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimou- also made a recommendation that TEA update Append in to reflect the revised answer to the question regardin the age of 10 years old. minimum age for Truancy Disciplinary Action Reas	tandar pendix are ur usly. ix E fo g the e son Cc	the I MS char ds to c E, a of 1 nder r the expu	ITF Dat nge c: 1 and 0 y the e 20 ilsic  ; 43	ta s m . Ao I 3. ears ears ag 013- on o  s <b>an</b> Actic	dd Adi s ol je c of	d Id	Action Item
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE <u>F Recc</u> ggy S e new o new s beer . Tom 14 Scl udents <b>arifica</b> <b>an PE</b> andice eason ( d the e	unnam a nool yea ee, Ques ds to refl IMS Da ullivan n reporting edits to n reporte n Priem s mittee a hool yea under th tion of EIMS co DeSant Codes 4	r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-207 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year. dation nade a motion to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data S g requirement, 2. Revise the "question 9 answer" in Ap notify schools when an expulsion of a student under the ed, to reinforce the rules for not expelling students who seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimou also made a recommendation that TEA update Append in to reflect the revised answer to the question regardin the age of 10 years old. minimum age for Truancy Disciplinary Action Reas and 44 in PEIMS code table C165 – Disciplinary Act	tandar pendix are ur usly. ix E fo g the e son Cc	the I MS char ds to c E, a of 1 nder r the expu	ITF Dat nge c: 1 and 0 y the e 20 ilsic  ; 43	ta s m . Ao I 3. ears ears ag 013- on o  s <b>an</b> Actic	dd Adi s ol je c of	d Id	Action Item
14 sch mmitte andarc the PE F Reco eggy S e new o new s beer . Tom 14 Scl udents arifica andice eason o d the e EIMS C	unnam a nool yea ee, Ques ds to refl IMS Da ullivan n reporting edits to n reporte mittee a hool yea under th tion of EIMS co DeSant Codes 4 explanat	r. Bryce Templeton stated that with a recommendation stion 9 in Appendix E could be updated in the 2013-207 ect the revision presented above and that would be the ta Standards for the current school year. dation made a motion to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data S g requirement, 2. Revise the "question 9 answer" in Ap notify schools when an expulsion of a student under the ed, to reinforce the rules for not expelling students who seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimou also made a recommendation that TEA update Append in to reflect the revised answer to the question regardin the age of 10 years old. minimum age for Truancy Disciplinary Action Reas and 44 in PEIMS code table C165 – Disciplinary Act ions for the same in Appendix E.	tandar pendix a age are ur usly. ix E fo ig the e son Cc	the MS char ds to c E, a of 1 nder r the expu	ITF Datinge c: 1 anc 0 y the llsic s 43 ry A n C	a s m . Ao I 3. ears ag 013- on o <b>an</b> Actic ode	dd Add s ol je c	d Id	Action Item

In a recent legislative session, Texas Education Code 25.094 was revised to establish a minimum age for which a school could specifically file truancy charges against a student. TEC 25.094 was amended to specify that students under the age of 12 cannot be charged as being truant. Prior to a student turning age 12, truancy issues with a student must be handled by filing truancy charges against a parent or guardian of the student.

TEA is proposing that the Truancy Codes in PEIMS code table C165 and in Appendix E be modified for the 2014-2015 school year as follows:

Current PEIMS Code Table C165 – Disciplinary Action Reason Code

43	Truancy (failure to attend school) – Student with at least 3 unexcused absences – TEC
	§25.094

44 Truancy (failure to attend school) – Student with 10 unexcused absences – TEC §25.094

Current Appendix E - Definition

- 43 Truancy (failure to attend school) Student with at least 3 unexcused absences – TEC § 25.094. Failure to Attend School - (a) An individual commits an offense if the individual: (1) is required to attend school under Section 25.085; and (2) fails to attend school on 10 or more days or parts of days within a sixmonth period in the same school year or on three or more days or parts of days within a four-week period.
- 44 Truancy (failure to attend school) Student with 10 unexcused absences TEC § 25.094. Failure to Attend School - (a) An individual commits an offense if the individual: (1) is required to attend school under Section 25.085; and (2) fails to attend school on 10 or more days or parts of days within a six-month period in the same school year or on three or more days or parts of days within a four-week period.

TEA is proposing that for the 2014 -2015 school year that the following changes be made to the Truancy Codes in PEIMS Code Table C165 and in Appendix E.

2014-2015 PEIMS Code Table C165 – Disciplinary Action Reason Code

- 4 Truancy (failure to attend school) Student is at least 12 years old with at least 3
  3 unexcused absences TEC §25.094
- 4 Truancy (failure to attend school) Student is at least 12 years old with 10 unexcused
  4 absences TEC §25.094

2014-2015 Appendix E - Definitions

43 Truancy (failure to attend school) – Student with at least 3 unexcused absences – TEC § 25.094. Failure to Attend School - (a) An individual commits an offense if the individual: (1) is 12 years of age or older and younger than 18 years of age; (2) is required to attend school under Section 25.085; and (3) fails to attend school on 10 or more days or parts of days within a six-month period in the same school year or on three or more days or parts of days within a four-week period.

	Two way (failure to other dischard). Student with 40 years and abarras	
44	Truancy (failure to attend school) – Student with 10 unexcused absences – TEC § 25.094. Failure to Attend School - (a) An individual commits an offense if the individual: (1) is 12 years of age or older and younger than 18 years of age; (2) is required to attend school under Section 25.085; and (3) fails to attend school on 10 or more days or parts of days within a six-month period in the same school year or on three or more days or parts of days within a four-week period.	
ITE	Discussion	
Nan actic does inter turne com deal Bryc the c	cy Dunnam asked about if a student truant for 5 days at age 11 on date-of-disciplinary- on and is truant for 5 additional days but is now age 12 on the date-of-disciplinary-action is the student get coded as truant for all 10 days. Priscilla stated that based on the law pretation the student would only be considered truant for 5 days once the student ad 12 years old. Nancy stated she would like this specific topic brought back to the mittee for the next ITF meeting on January 7, 2013 along with specific guidance on ing with truancy situations where a student is turning age 6 and then turning age 12. e Templeton and Priscilla Flores stated that they would bring this information back to committee to review at the next ITF meeting on January 7, 2013. ITF recommended an tional example be added to the PEIMS Data Standards to illustrate this scenario.	,
Tom Disc unde expa	Recommendation Priem made a motion to modify the 2014-2015 PEIMS Data Standards to revise the iplinary Action Reason Codes 43 and 44 to specify the codes do not apply to students or the age of 12 or over the age of 18, and to include the same exemptions in the anded definitions of the Disciplinary Action Reason Codes 43 and 44 in Appendix E. In Daitz seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.	
TSD	S Student GPS Dashboards State Assessment	Action Item
asse optic	Hanson presented a proposal to the ITF committee to discuss the loading of state essment data to the TSDS studentGPS <sup>™</sup> Dashboards. TEA is seeking approval for an on to allow TEA to load state assessments on behalf of the LEAs. This would be an onal service for LEAs.	
0	Pearson is adding Unique ID to STAAR and TELPAS files beginning with the April 2014 5 <sup>th</sup> grade & 8 <sup>th</sup> grade mathematics and reading administration (that is the first STAAR administration to report in 2014).	
0	If the LEAs select the option for TEA to load the test results on their behalf, TEA will convert the test result files to XML and load the data to the TSDS Operational Data Store (ODS).	
0	If the LEA does not select the option for TEA to load the test results on their behalf, the LEA will be responsible for converting the test results to XML and loading the data to the ODS.	
Adria wan to lo to "o	Discussion an Garcia asked if the LEAs could "opt out" of this service instead of "opting in" if they ted to load their own assessment data. Terri Hanson stated that each LEA desiring TEA ad their assessment data for them to the TSDS studentGPS <sup>™</sup> Dashboards would have pt in" to ensure that TEA had their permission to load the data for them. The "opt in" oval is a yearly option with a new approval required each new school year.	Λ
Terr	d McKamie asked what the benefit was for the LEAs that choose to exercise this option Hanson stated that any LEA that did not "opt in" to this service would be responsible onverting their assessment data to the XML format and loading the data to the ODS.	

ITF Recommendation	
Aaron Daitz made a motion to approve an annual renewable option in the TSDS studentGPS <sup>™</sup> Dashboards, to allow a LEA to "opt in" and allow TEA to load the LEA state assessment on behalf of the LEA to the TSDS studentGPS <sup>™</sup> Dashboards. Brenda Padalecki seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.	
Historical Data in the TSDS Dashboards	Action Item
Terri Hanson presented a proposal to the ITF committee to discuss displaying historical student and staff data in the TSDS studentGPS <sup>™</sup> Dashboards. TEA is seeking approval to display historical data for both students and staff in the TSDS studentGPS <sup>™</sup> Dashboards.	
The historical data could begin appearing in the second year of a LEA's participation in the TSDS Dashboards. As LEAs move into the second year of using the Dashboards, there are several metrics that reflect historical data. TEA needs approval to display the historical data for students and staff from prior years.	
Each of the following The following categories of data must be considered.	
Staff – Teacher Attendance	
Student – Attendance	
Student – Discipline	
Student – Course Transcript	
Student - State Assessments	
Terri presented screenshots of the dashboards and what the historical data would look like to the districts staff members viewing the data. The screenshots consisted of Staff-Teacher Attendance, Student-Attendance, Student-Discipline, Student-Course Transcript, and Student-State Assessments.	
ITF Discussion	
<ul> <li>Staff – Teacher Attendance ITF asked how many years of historical data would be available for viewing. Terri Hanson stated that the "dashboards" displays the current year and then four years of historical data.</li> </ul>	
ITF was concerned about the confidentiality of teacher or staff attendance data related to the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA). It was stated that this information is only visible to the campus principal and that these persons already had the right to this information.	
ITF asked if the historical data would show the attendance of staff by day. Terri Hanson stated that the current year attendance data is shown by calendar format (by day) and that the historical data only shows the total days present at work and total days absent from work. ITF members were concerned that this attendance data for staff would be misleading because the data reveals that a staff person was off campus, but does not disclose the reason why a staff person was off campus.	
<ul> <li>Student – Attendance         ITF members were concerned about who would be able to see the historical             attendance data for a particular student. Terri Hanson stated that the current             teacher(s) at a campus would be able to see the current and historical attendance             data of a student enrolled in their classes. ITF had additional concerns about a     </li> </ul>	

teacher seeing prior year attendance and Terri Hanson stated that a student's attendance was part of the metrics that current teachers of students are allowed to view.

• Student – Discipline

ITF members questioned the legality of teachers see historical discipline data for their students. Bryce Templeton stated that currently, a teacher makes a disciplinary referral to the campus administrator and then they may or may not know the disposition of that disciplinary referral. If the student does not return to the teacher's class, the teacher is generally involved in the continued instruction of the student in terms of creating assignments for the student in the disciplinary setting assigned to the student. Bryce further stated that only campus administrators should know the discipline history of a student as they are allowed to know this information when considering a current disciplinary issue. ITF members were concerned that displaying the discipline history of a student might taint the ability of a student to have a fresh start when being instructed by a new teacher. Other ITF members were concerned that a teacher should know if a student was a safety threat because of past disciplinary incidents. TEC Chapter 37 has provisions to prevent certain students from being returned to a teacher's class who are believed to be a safety threat to the teachers and others in the class.

• Student – Course Transcript

ITF discussed generally the benefits of the Course Transcript data for historical purposes of the TSDS studentGPS<sup>™</sup> Dashboards. It was restated that teachers would only be able to see the course transcript history of students that were currently in their classes and that the campus principal would have access to only the course transcript data for the students enrolled on their campus.

Brenda Richmond asked for a clarification of the historical data in terms of correcting the course transcript data. Scott Johnson stated that the course transcript data is the only historical data that can be corrected in the TSDS studentGPS<sup>™</sup> Dashboards. All of the other historical categories of data are sourced from locations that cannot be changed after they are available for loading into the TSDS studentGPS<sup>™</sup> Dashboards.

• Student - State Assessments

ITF discussed generally the benefits of the Student State Assessments data for historical purposes of the TSDS studentGPS<sup>™</sup> Dashboards. It was restated that teachers would only be able to see the assessment history of students that were currently in their classes and that the campus principal would have access to only assessment data for the students enrolled on the campus.

## **ITF Recommendation**

Adrian Garcia made a motion to approve displaying the historical data for Staff-Teacher Attendance, Student-Attendance, Student-Course Transcript, and Student-State Assessment in the TSDS studentGPS<sup>™</sup> Dashboards. Aaron Daitz seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Note: The ITF committee did not approve to display historical data of Student-Discipline.

Action Item

## TSDS Incident Management System (TIMS) Overview

Terri Hanson presented a proposal to the ITF committee to discuss the TSDS Incident Management System (TIMS). TEA is seeking approval to access the LEA's TSDS data, with permission of the LEA, during the processing of a customer support incident.

• All TSDS support requests must be made through the TSDS Incident Management

System (TIMS)

- Incidents may include questions, problem reports or requests for enhancements/suggestions
- An incident may be opened by any TSDS user, a logon to TIMS is not required

Terri presented the different levels of support that the TIMS will include:

Level 1 – LEA Stewards - Focal Point for User Support Routing and Basic Troubleshooting of Application.

Level 2 – ESC Champions and MSDF Technical Coaches - 1st Escalation Point for Data Anomalies and Use.

Level 3 – TEA TSDS Support Desk - 2nd Escalation Point for Data Anomalies, Application Issues, and Use,

Resolution of Escalation Path (Application / Systems), and Focal Point for Coordination of Escalation.

Level 4 – TSDS Component Owners - Code Fix, Systems Issues, and Application Maintenance.

Terri also stated that this data was required to be FERPA compliant.

Terri stated that TIMS would include a Data Use Agreement between the LEAs and TEA.

- FERPA gives students access to their education records, an opportunity to seek to have the records amended, and some control over the disclosure of information from the records
- LEAs have blanket agreements with their ESCs to disclose personally identifiable information (PII) from student education records in accordance with Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)
- Technical Coaches, TEA analysts nor Component Support analysts have no blanket agreement to view LEA data until an incident is officially escalated
- Any LEA incident escalated requires the Level 1 (LEA) support analysts to agree to the Data Use Agreement on an incident-by-incident basis
- Level 2 Technical Coaches, Level 3 Support Analysts, and Level 4 Support Analysts can only access data within the EDW for the sole purpose of support for the studentGPS<sup>™</sup> Dashboards and PEIMS submissions
- o Once the incident ticket is closed, the access agreement is terminated.
- o Support analysts must adhere to specific data use rules
- When the Level 1 (LEA) staff escalates an incident, this confirmation window will appear. The Level 1 staff must confirm in order for escalation to proceed. When the user clicks "Escalate to Level 2" the confirmation is written to the incident record.
- When TSDS Support Analysts work an escalated ticket they must confirm adherence to the TEA TSDS Data Use Agreement and identify the TSDS subsystems that were accessed. The Analyst clicks "Log Data Access" and the confirmation is written to the incident record.
- Data will be used for the sole purpose of resolving the reported incident and no attempt will be made to identify specific individuals
- o If the identity of any student should be discovered inadvertently, then

	<ul> <li>No use will be made of this information, nor will it be shared with anyone else;</li> </ul>	
	The identifying information will be safeguarded or destroyed.	
0	Only the person identified in the data agreement as the Support Analyst will have access to the contents of the data files, including derived data files	
0	The Support Analyst must respond promptly and in writing to inquiries from the LEA regarding compliance with this agreement or the expected date of resolution of the incident	
0	The Support Analyst must destroy all electronic and paper files when the incident is closed	
ITF	Discussion	
	s the superintendent of a school need to approve the TIMS Support person granting ess to the data? – Yes.	
incio TIM	Hanson asked the ITF whether there should be a one-time annual agreement or a per lent approval granting access to the data for the purposes of resolving a ticket in the S. ITF discussed the issue in terms of which roles are permitted to authorize access to for the purposes of resolving an incident.	
appi case appi Han Rich resta	suggested that the TEAL approval process be modified to allow the Superintendent to ove a LEA designee (TIMS Support) to approve TEA, or an ESC, to view data on a by case basis. The ITF committee also requested that ESCs be included on the TIMS oval to access LEA TSDS data during processing of a Customer Service Incident. Terri son stated that the TIMS Support role is not currently in the system as of yet. Brenda mond asked about which role is identified as the TIMS Support role. Scott Johnson ated that the TIMS Support role is not the TSDS system as of yet. Melody Parrish add that the data stewards are generally going to be in the TIMS Support role.	
ITF	Recommendation	
durii the t	on Daitz made a motion to approve TEA and the ESC to access a LEA's TSDS data ng the processing of a customer support incident, with permission of the LEA granted at ime the incident ticket is submitted. Patty Streat seconded the motion and the motion sed unanimously.	
mak and	also provided TEA with an action item to figure out how to allow superintendents to e a one-time approval for their TIMS Support person to have the authority to grant TEA ESCs access to the TSDS data for the purposes of resolving a TSDS incident. ITF ested that an email vote occur on this action item.	
Oth	er Business:	Discussion Item
Diffe rega mea was recc 37.0 stud educ	d McKamie brought back a discussion regarding code table C166 – Disciplinary-Length- rence-Reason-Code. David stated that he is receiving phone calls from districts rding the terminology "modified" in the codes and the districts taking that verbiage to n reduce or extend a disciplinary assignment. He stated that in a previous meeting it recommended that the word "modified" be changed to "reduced"; however, this mmendation was voted against by the PCPEI committee. Priscilla stated that TEC 09(j) says "If, during the term of a placement or expulsion ordered under this section, a ent engages in additional conduct for which placement in a disciplinary alternative cation program or expulsion is required or permitted, additional proceedings may be ducted under this section regarding that conduct and the principal or board, as	

Т

Г

appropriate, may enter an additional order as a result of those proceedings." She said this meant if a student misbehaved in a disciplinary setting that an additional incident report should be submitted. Nancy asked if this could be added to the Data Standards and it was agreed this could be added to Section 2 of the PEIMS Data Standards as well as Appendix E in the questions/answers portion. Nancy asked if this discussion could be presented at the next ITF committee meeting on January 7, 2013.	
Nancy Dunnam also requested that TEA include in the PEIMS Data Standards documents a statement that "Districts are to handle the Unique ID with the same level of security and sensitivity as they do for the Social Security Numbers."	
	Discussion Item
Upcoming ITF Meetings	Discussion item
The next ITF meetings are scheduled as follows:	Discussion item
	Discussion item
The next ITF meetings are scheduled as follows:	Discussion item
The next ITF meetings are scheduled as follows: Meeting Date	Discussion item